

» Banner International «

banner-international@hush.com

ATTN: Banner-related Shareholders 1987 to Present
San Francisco Police Department
Utah State Legislators

January 21, 2014

All Banner Related Shareholders should be notified that Notice is being given to the San Francisco Police Department that accumulated evidence since 1974 indicates that the murder of Samuel Edelman in San Francisco on January 1, 1974 has now been strongly indicated to have been conducted in order to remove the control of the Requa/Hoover Files from Stephen H. Requa with an effort to frame that murder upon him. News coverage of that murder may be seen at:

<http://news.google.com/newspapers?nid=1696&dat=19740401&id=8uUcAAAIBAJ&sjid=5cEAAAIBAJ&pg=6821,224709>

The R/H Files comprised the world's most extensive gold mining data on thousands of mining properties from Alaska to Bolivia and were acquired from the expenditure of at least \$100,000,000. At that time John Paul Getty was seeking to access these files following his geologists of Getty Oil having secured one file on the Mercur Gold Mine in Utah from Lawrence K. Requa, father of Stephen Requa. That data later allowed Getty to have Barrick Gold go public and to produce gold in the range of a billion dollars in value.

Stephen Requa in 1973 obstructed Getty Oil from obtaining any further data by John Paul Getty; and, based on the following evidence it is now being alleged that the murder of Edelman is evidenced to have been arranged by John Paul Getty with elements of organized crime in collaboration with criminalized FBI agents of their COINTELPRO group, then in full swing. Later, the Church Committee in Congress in 1976 detailed numerous such collaborations in murders by FBI and Mafia, with Senator Huddleston from that 1976 Senate Committee stating the following: **"... the fact that the United States Government [i.e. FBI] would call in two Mafia people to help us eliminate some guy illegally by murder because we disagreed with him was the kind of thing we were confronted with across the board during this investigation."** Events below exemplify this reality:

- 1) Requa could not be framed for the Edelman murder due to his sudden and unexpected departure from San Francisco immediately before the murder and therefore was not on hand to be so framed;
- 2) Shortly thereafter an FBI Agent sought Requa out in Utah where he questioned him about his telephone number that was then found written on an SLA hideout wall. In light of subsequent events from 1993 to the present that is now alleged to have been a fabrication by FBI persons to facilitate Requa being framed — and also then shot with few questions to be asked with Requa having been alleged in making many "threats" to persons (since such allegations made by FBI persons were made broadly in Utah in 1993 to assist the receivership crimes and theft of the R/H Files);
- 3) In 1993 Requa learned from FBI Agent Mike Christman in Utah that there was a record of an SFPD Detective having questioned Requa in 1974 about the Edelman murder with the

allegation that in the questioning was contained a reference to a “rent strike” that Requa was being alleged to have led against Edelman regarding a large apartment complex he owned and in which Requa had recently acquired an apartment. There was never such questioning and Requa was never leading any rent strike. In view of Requa then having control over \$100,000,000 worth of priceless gold mining data, he would not be interested in leading any rent strike or in having any phone conversations with the SLA;

- 4) Events in Utah 1993 now are now of sufficient proof to show that FBI agents in Utah were broadly with determination alleging that Requa was “making threats” to many persons (for unknown reasons). These are also believed to have been a continuation with new FBI fabrications in line with those of 1974. Also by persons known to have been under influence of FBI Agent Collins and others, it was being alleged (by Requa’s brother) that Requa had “murdered four people”. Recorded interviews of persons, (e.g. Rev. Marsh) demonstrated that they were being subjected to intense pressures by FBI persons to believe/allege a deranged mentality to Requa, while brother of Requa was stating he was “threatening” both his mother and brother. There is filmed evidence of this. Substantial evidence in filmed interviews demonstrate FBI COINTELPRO-type conditioning of Roger Bowers to allege falsely that Requa was threatening BLM officials and others. (See transcripts pages 18-44 of link below): <http://www.greatgoldgrab.com/The%20Bombshell%20for%20the%20FBI.pdf>

Grossly transparent FBI conditioned lies of Roger Bowers (and to Bowers) evidenced.

Grossly fraudulent Court Crimes instigated by FBI for Bowers to be seen at:

<http://www.greatgoldgrab.com/FBI-Legislators%20Complaint%20&%20Advisory.pdf>

The Notice of Criminal Complaint was filed in January 2013 against FBI Agent Collins in his observed *ipso facto* effort to falsify Utah State corporate records on Banner International to facilitate the coming receivership fraud that is now evidenced to have been contrived and instigated by Utah FBI agents themselves including Agent Collins: Notarized/Sworn Criminal Complaint Against FBI Agent Collins to be seen at:

http://www.greatgoldgrab.com/PDFs_jan_2013/Notice_of_Criminal_Complaint.pdf

(Re: Falsification of Utah State Records, Conspiracy to Defraud, and Attempted Murder)

- 5) The entire 1993 receivership fraud on Banner International may now be alleged as to have been contrived and instigated by COINTELPRO-conditioned corrupt FBI agents with elements of organized crime in order to steal the R/H Files. Interviews of Bowers (pages 18-44 link above) demonstrate manipulation of Bowers by FBI persons not only to prompt his own false statements but also to allege that the FBI was pursuing Requa as a fugitive, while in fact Requa was seeking to see FBI persons in London. Network of criminalized FBI across country is apparent.
- 6) Recordings with the Rev. Caryl Marsh demonstrate her being conditioned with extreme falsehoods to the extent that she was fearful in the extreme of Requa. Others state that FBI agents were alleging such fictions to numerous persons and stating in California that Requa was being investigated for misappropriation of funds from Banner, which would not legitimately be conducted in California for a local Utah matter; one recording of Agent Collins to a London Journalist contains a threat not to publish anything about Requa unless he wished to be in “big trouble”; See Pages 22-23 of link: http://www.greatgoldgrab.com/PDFs_jan_2013/Notice_of_Criminal_Complaint.pdf

- 7) Recordings of Rev. Caryl Marsh, Agent Collins, Commander O'Keefe Pages 12-22: http://www.greatgoldgrab.com/PDFs_jan_2013/Criminal_Complaint_Lawson-Wycoff.pdf
- 8) After the Rev. Marsh was informed that there were no FBI FOI records on Requa, Marsh stated to one person that "Of course, it's all secret!" A covert operation by highly adverse FBI agents against Requa is broadly in irrefutable evidence with Marsh and others;
- 9) Others in the U.S. were asked to let FBI know if Requa "showed up":
- 10) A large number of allegations that Requa was threatening persons were made by those collaborating with FBI and used to establish an adverse profile of Requa to instigate and facilitate the Utah Court frauds and the theft of the Requa/Hoover Files; no threats to any persons ever occurred; (see attachments);
- 11) It is clear that the intention was in progress by FBI persons in a COINTELPRO operation to allege Requa was a deranged homicidal maniac – one who could be shot with some ease in context with other contrived FBI records, such as those associated with the Edelman murder;
- 12) It is clear that the framing of Requa for the Edelman murder would have been with such allegations then current of his being a homicidal maniac who would have been shot in some contrived situation, such as with perhaps the four murders, as later alleged in Utah, perhaps likely having been ones in fact alleged during time of Edelman murder.
- 13) Such an intended event did occur on the Stanford University Campus while Stanford Faculty were in fact being advised that Requa was deranged and dangerous and to notify police if Requa appeared. Efforts were then in progress to isolate Requa in a "Restricted Zone" where he would not be observed by others.
- 14) It is now justifiable to conclude that the widespread allegations of Requa "threatening people" were first made in 1974 as an element in the intended framing of Requa in the Edelman homicide — and that they were then used again in Utah for the same purposes. A continuity of Mafia elements from 1974 to the present is in evidence;
- 15) The connection of the Edelman murder to organized crime was evidenced in 1994 by the late Philip Fay Stevenson who was known then as Mafia/Triad affiliated in securities racketeering in Vancouver, and who had sought to force Banner into a joint venture in Vancouver. **Without some surviving connection of organized crime to the Edelman murder, Stevenson 19 years later would never have known about the Edelman murder;**
- 16) The Stevenson securities racketeering elements in 1992-1993 who were seeking to force Banner and Requa into Vancouver schemes are thus indicated as likely connected to the organized crime elements first engaged with John Paul Getty to steal the Requa/Hoover Files by means of framing Requa into the Edelman murder. **The knowledge by Stevenson of the Edelman murder and his awareness of that murder having been done to attempt the framing of Requa for that crime is evidence of a long term continuum of organized crime with FBI following the deaths of Edelman and then of John Paul Getty.**

17) The continuum of long-term organized crime from its FBI collaborations in 1974 (and long before under J. Edgar Hoover and H.L Hunt) and with their records fabrications at that time until the FBI's 1993 fabrications and libel campaign against Requa in 1993 provides strong evidence for organized criminal operations by FBI with Mafia elements to have survived many years (to the present) after the formation of such COINTELPRO FBI/Mafia ties by J. Edgar Hoover upon their assassination of John F. Kennedy and then the deployment of Mafia/FBI elements in five years later in the assassination of Martin Luther King as proved in Memphis Court in 1999 by Dr. William F. Pepper.

18) The San Francisco Police with a Special Prosecutor are apparently required to re-open the Edelman murder case to assess the strongly evidenced intent to frame Stephen Requa with that crime by FBI and Mafia groups then at the command of John Paul Getty. The FBI should be constrained from any further efforts to maintain their cover-up for having themselves instigated the 1993 Court Frauds and theft of the Requa/Hoover Files with highly criminal activities and manipulation on various persons ; and, all FBI efforts to further malign and conduct crimes against Stephen Requa should be compelled to be brought to an end.

With Demand for Special Prosecutor and Congressional Investigations:

This 2nd day of January, 2014:


Stephen H. Requa

Witness:



Sworn Affidavit of Joanne Weiss follows: see paragraph 7

AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned affiant Joanne Weiss, being duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. I am a practicing therapist with many years' experience and I invested in Banner International in October of 1988. My hope was that the investment would pay off within a few years. I knew it was a risk investment but did so because my friend Dennis Miller had been working with Steve Requa on a promotional film for the company. Dennis believed strongly in the project and after meeting Steve Requa myself and discussing the potential of the mining properties, I followed Dennis' advice and invested in Banner Exploration.
2. My impression of Steve Requa at that time was that he was honest, sincere, had a deep knowledge of mining development and exploration and was utterly dedicated to bringing in a producing gold mine.
3. From that time onwards I received continuous company updates appraising me of the company's progress and felt that serious plans were being executed with good judgement and careful financial management also had confirmation of the exploration progress since my son accompanied Dennis Miller and Steve Requa on one of his film shoots to the Osceola property.
4. Following the imposition of the receivership, Steve Requa came to my home in September 1993. He talked about ruthless interests who were taking over Banner International and death threats that were being made against him. He was terror-stricken and obviously genuinely afraid.
5. The next information I received was a letter from Roger Bowers saying that after Banner Exploration had been placed in receivership that a new company, called Osceola Gold Mining Company, had been formed and taken over Banner's assets. In subsequent communication from Bowers I was told

Documents Appendix

Doc. No. 23 (Cont'd)

that I had to exchange my original Banner Exploration shares for shares in the newly formed company or they would become worthless. I eventually agreed to do this, since there was no word from Steve at that time and we did not know where he was. I later heard that he had fled to England.

6. Since transferring my shares to Osceola Gold Mining Company, all the information I have received from that organization has been about the total dissolution of that corporate entity and its winding up in bankruptcy. This, of course, rendered my original investment in Banner Exploration worthless.

7. It is only during the past year when I received the information provided by Steve and his legal representative, that I learned of the complaint against Coopers and the reasons for taking action against them. I had had no knowledge that Coopers' auditors had claimed a \$600,000 deficit in the company accounts. This claim is particularly surprising to me since I have always observed Steve to be scrupulously careful and honest in his money management.

8. The only other thing I can add is that I spoke to Bowers on several occasions to try and find out what was happening to my investment. Bowers said that the principals of the company were afraid that Steve would take some violent action against them. I felt that this was a deliberate effort to discredit Steve. As a therapist and having known Steve for some time, I did not believe he was a threat to anyone. I understand from Steve that many such allegations were made against him by those who got control of Banner's assets, and I do not think they are believable.

9. I, like a majority of BI shareholders, have accordingly assigned to Banner International Holdings, Ltd. (BIH) my rights to litigate and recover Banner International (BI) assets and compensation for the damages in consideration for my taking BIH shares. I have the same number of shares in

Documents Appendix

Doc. No. 23 (Cont'd)

BIH as I did in BI, and the structure of BIH is the same as BI. For the on-going purposes of the original shareholders, BIH is the re-organized corporate body of BI.


10. BIH is therefore the only entity in existence to represent us original BI shareholders in the recoveries for our losses. I continue to trust Stephen Regua and the re-organized BIH, and this is now our only vehicle for justice and recompense.

This 2 day of April, 2001:

Joanne Weiss Joanne Weiss
----- Witness Date

State of California }
County of Marin } ss.

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me
this 2nd day of April, 2001, by
Date Month Year
(1) Joanne Mae Weiss
Name of Signer(s)
(2) _____
Name of Signer(s)
Martha Romero
Signature of Notary Public



OPTIONAL

Though the information in this section is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Affidavit

Document Date: April 2/01 Number of Pages: -3-

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: none

RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER #1 Top of thumb here	RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER #2 Top of thumb here
---	---

National Notary Association • 9350 De Soto Ave., P.O. Box 2402 • Chatsworth, CA 91313-2402 • www.nationalnotary.org Prod. No. 5014